

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/486,981	09/486,981 02/28/2000		IZUO AOKI	157679	7006
24040	7590	04/12/2005		EXAMINER	
DENNIS G	. LAPOI	NTE	PRICE, ELVIS O		
LAPOINTE	LAW GR	OUP, PL			
PO BOX 1294			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TARPON SPRINGS. FL 34688-1294				1621	

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/486,981	AOKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elvis O. Price	1621				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>uly 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4,12-19 and 28-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4,12-19 and 28-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:					

A

Application/Control Number: 09/486,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 12-19 and 28-31 are pending in the application.

- 2. Applicants' amendment, filed 11/12/03, has overcome the 35 USC 102(b) rejection issued for claim 1 of the presently claimed invention, in the office action dated 7/11/03. However, a new rejection has been issued for claim 1.
- 3. Applicants' amendment, filed 11/12/03, has overcome the Claim Objections for claims 16-19 issued in the office action dated 7/11/03

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toan et al. {US Pat. 5,509,957}.

Toan et al. disclose the presently claimed molecular compounds (see Col. 4 and 5, Compound Numbers: 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 15 and 19-24).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hisao et al. {JP-08-133910; see English translation}.

Hisao et al. disclose the presently claimed molecular compounds as defined by the present claim 1 (see abstract; sections [0010], [0017 to 0024])

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 12-19 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toan et al. {US Pat. 5,509,957}, in view of Klemm et al. {EP 29990 A1; see English abstract}.

Applicants claim molecular compounds, defined by the phenol derivatives of formulas I, IV, V and VI, wherein the molecular compounds are selected from the group consisting of hydrates, solvates, adducts ad clathrate compounds. Applicants further claim the said molecular compound(s) contains, as constituents, a material that reacts with the phenol derivative to form the said molecular compound selected from the group consisting of antibacterial agents, antifungal agents, insecticides, noxious insect repellants, perfumes, deodorants, antifouling agents, curing agents for coating materials, accelerators for coating materials, resins, adhesives, natural essential oils, antioxidants, vulcanization accelerators and organic solvents.

Toan et al. teach water-soluble molecular compounds of formulas I and II, derived from phenol compounds, which encompass the presently claimed molecular compounds (see Col. 1, lines 19-65). Toan et al. teach that their water-soluble molecular compounds can be combined with a material that reacts with the phenol compounds to form the said molecular compound(s). The difference between the

Application/Control Number: 09/486,981

Art Unit: 1621

presently claimed invention and what is taught by the Toan et al. reference is that the Toan et al. reference does not explicitly teach molecular compounds selected from the group consisting of antibacterial agents, antifungal agents, insecticides, noxious insect repellants, perfumes, deodorants, antifouling agents, curing agents for coating materials, accelerators for coating materials, resins, adhesives, natural essential oils, antioxidants, vulcanization accelerators or organic solvents. However, Toan et al. teach that the molecular compounds are water-soluble and can be used as agents for coating materials (see Col. 4, lines 41-44 and Col. 7, lines 66-67 thru Col. 8, lines 1-3).

Additionally, Klemm et al. teach a molecular compound (i.e., 2,2'dihydroxy-5,5'-methylene di-(para-toluenesulphonic acid)), which is encompassed by the presently claimed formulas IV and formula II of the Toan et al. reference (see first paragraph of English abstract: compound I). Klemm et al. further teach that their molecular compound and its salts have, inter-alia, astringent, bactericidal, and fungicidal properties (see beginning of second paragraph of English abstract).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, in view of the Toan et al. and Klemm et al. references, to arrive at the presently claimed invention because Toan et al. teach water-soluble molecular compounds that encompass the presently claimed compounds and both Toan et al. and Klemm et al. teach that such molecular compounds can be used as agents for coating materials or used as astringent, bactericidal and/or fungicidal agents.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated, in view of the Toan et al. and Klemm et al. references, to obtain any and all possible molecular

Art Unit: 1621

compounds (including positional isomers) encompassed by formulas I and/or II taught by the Toan et al. invention so as to utilized the molecular compounds and their salts as agents for coating materials or as astringent, bactericidal and/or fungicidal agents (as taught by Klemm et al.). Thus, the presently claimed invention would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments with respect to the 35 USC 102(b) rejection of claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicants' arguments filed 7/11/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the presently claimed compounds as defined by claims 2-4 do not include $-SO_2Y$ in R_{17} , R_{20} , R_{25} , R_{28} , R_{33} or R_{37} in which Y of either R_{17} , R_{20} , R_{25} , R_{28} , R_{33} or R_{37} is hydroxyl. This argument is not persuasive because variables R_{17} , R_{20} , R_{25} , R_{28} , R_{33} or R_{37} can in fact include a hydroxyl moiety.

Applicants argue that the present invention relates to a molecular compound, which contains a phenol derivative and antibacterial agents, antifungal agents...etc., or organic solvents that react with the said phenol derivative to form a molecular compound. The said molecular compounds are not used as active ingredients, but are reacted with other compounds to improve the characteristics of the other compounds whereas the Toan reference discloses compounds, which are suitable for use in ink and are able to stabilize prints.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,981

Art Unit: 1621

This argument is not convincing because the use or process of preparing the said molecular compounds is irrelavent considering that applicants claims are product claims (molecular compounds). Thus, the ability of the present claimed molecular compounds to react with other compounds is not given any patentable weight. The same molecular compounds that are structurally defined by the present claims are either disclosed and/or suggested in the art (Toan et al. and Klemm et al. references).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elvis O. Price whose telephone number is 703 605-1204. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm; Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 09/486,981 Page 7

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 703 308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703 308-4556 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

December 7, 2004